

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/009,809	04/26/2002	Ronit Eisenberg	026549-000100US	1519	
20350 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			DAHLE, CHUN WU		
	EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1644		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte: Ronit Eisenberg and Tamar Raz

Application No. 10/009,809 Technology Center 1600

Mailed: July 7, 2009

Before DEBORAH L. PERRY, Supervisory Paralegal Specialist, Review Team.
PERRY, Supervisory Paralegal Specialist, Review Team.

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on June 22, 2009. A review of the application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the Examiner to address the following matter requiring attention prior to docketing.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

In response to the Non-Final Rejection mailed January 5, 2009, Appellant filed a Request for Reconsideration and Amendment on February 11, 2009. In this Request for Reconsideration, Appellant requested that the Examiner either allow the claims for present an Examiner's Answer (see pg. 3, lns 16-17). If it was Appellant's intent to request reinstatement of the appeal, this was an improper request.

Appellant should file a <u>new Notice of Appeal or alternatively a</u>

Request to Reinstate the Appeal the previous appeal and file a <u>new Appeal</u>

Brief for the Examiner's consideration.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner:

- 1) to vacate the Examiner's Answer dated March 30, 2009;
- advise Appellant that the Response dated February 11, 2009.

is an improper request for reinstatement;

 advise Appellant to submit a Reinstatement of Appeal and/or

New Notice of Appeal and new Appeal Brief to respond to new grounds;

4) for such further action as may be appropriate.

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

DLP/jsd

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-3834